

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/773,333	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OKUMURA, HIROSHI	
	<b>Examiner</b> JOHANNES P. MONDT	<b>Art Unit</b> 3663	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) JOHANNES P. MONDT.

(2) Andrew J. Taska (Reg. No.: 54,666).

**Date of Interview:** 3 December 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
grounds of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as applied

Claims discussed:  
as rejected, i.e., 14, 16 and 29-36

Prior art documents discussed:  
Nakamura (JP-2003-017502 A) as cited

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/JOHANNES P MONDT/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3663

**Status of Application:** appealed but allowed after interview.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 8 AM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed an amendment that if accepted would place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant accepted the examiner amendment. Said examiner amendment is identical to the one recorded in the Notice of Allowance under "Examiner Amendment"..